Abstract 3AP4-6

Accuracy of noninvasive hemoglobin measurement by Masimo Radical-7 Pulse CO-Oximeter in adult patients; comparison between revision C and revision K

<u>Toju K.</u>, Isosu T., Morimoto I., Hosono A., Kurosawa S., Murakawa M. Fukushima Medical University, Dept of Anaesthesiology, Fukushima, Japan

Background and Objectives: A novel Pulse CO-Oximetry system (Radical-7® or Rad-87TM combined with R25®, Masimo Co., CA, US continuously provides hemoglobin measurement as noninvasive hemoglobin concentration(SpHb). R25® sensor is updated constantly t its accuracy and the newest revision K is available at present. The in vivo adjustment is also available and provides the option to adjust patients SpHb bias from the laboratory reference device. We previously reported the accuracy of SpHb improved with in vivo adjustmer measured by R25® of revision C [1]. We compared the accuracy of SpHb between revision C and K. We also analyzed the effect of in v adjustment on SpHb measured by revision K.

Materials and methods: After IRB approval, 11 adult patients scheduled for open abdominal surgery with ASA physical status 1-2 we Two R25® sensors of revision K were attached to the index and middle finger contralateral to the radial arterial line. Each sensor was of to Radical-7® and Rad-87TM. General anesthesia was induced and maintained by our standardized protocol. A blood gas sample was dr vivo adjustment just before the skin incision and SpHb bias was adjusted. The other samples were collected during the surgical procedu the anesthetist needed. The total hemoglobin provided by blood samples(tHb) and the simultaneous SpHb by Radical-7® and Rad-87TM recorded. We also used the data from our former report and compared between revision C and K.

Results and discussion: 52 pairs of data were collected. Bland-Altman analysis showed the 95% limits of agreement(LOA) to be -0.8 g/dl, with a bias of -0.48 g/dl for the difference between SpHb and tHb without in vivo adjustment. The result obtained from our forme revision C showed 95% LOA to be -2.82 to 3.14 g/dl, with a bias of 0.16 g/dl [1]. The accuracy of revision K improved compared with r In vivo adjustment did not improve the accuracy of SpHb in revision K however. Revision K is enough accurate without in vivo adjustment reported to have relatively poor correlation in a dynamic situation such as massive bleeding, in which in vivo adjustment may have its ϵ this is to be investigated further.

Conclusion: The sensor of revision K improved the accuracy of SpHb. SpHb measured by revision K is reliable without in vivo adjustmention relatively stable cases.

Reference:

[1] Isosu T, et al. J Clin Monit Comput 2013; 27: 55-60

Assigned speakers:

Kazuya Toju, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan

Assigned in sessions:

02.06.2014, 10:30-12:00, Abstract Presentation Session, 03AP4, Monitoring O2 transport, Poster Area - Row 2B